REMARKS

Upon entry of the amendments here, Claims 17 - 19 and 23-26 will be pending in the

present application. No additional claim fee is believed to be due.

Claims 20-22 have been herein canceled.

Claims 17 is herein currently amended. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration

of the current application.

An amendment has been made to the abstract. A replacement abstract is attached on a

separate sheet.

Rejection Under 35 USC § 112, 1st paragraph

The Office Action states that Claims 17-26 are rejected under 35 USC § 112. Applicant

respectfully traverses the Examiners rejection based on the following amendments made herein:

1. Claim 1 has been amended according to the Examiner's instructions in a telephone

conference on March 2, 2004, wherein the portion of the claim reading "or an

optical isomer, diasteromer, enantiomer, or pharmaceutically-acceptable salt, or

amide, ester, or imide susceptible to being cleaved in vivo by a mammalian subject to yield the compound" has been deleted from the claim. This amendment should not

be considered prejudicial and Applicants reserve the right to pursue the subject matter deleted by amendment in a continuing application. Accordingly, Applicants assert

that claim 1 is in condition for allowance.

Rejection Under 35 USC § 103(a)

The Office Action states that claims 17-26 are rejected under 35 USC § 103. Applicant

respectfully traverses the rejection based on the amendments made herein pursuant to Applicants'

telephone conference with the Examiner on March 2, 2004.

1. Applicants have amended claim 17 as follows: D1 is -C(O)- and D2 is -NH-, thereby

traversing the 35 USC § 103 rejection based on the DE3524955 reference. As Examiner

indicated in the March 2, 2004 telephone conference, such an amendment allows the

claims to be in condition for allowance.

Page 7 of 9

Appl. No. 09/996,657 Atty. Docket No. 010785-9003-02 Response dated May 5, 2004 Reply to Office Action February 6, 2004 Customer No. 23409

CONCLUSION

In light of the above remarks, it is requested that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw the rejection under 35 USC § 112 and under 35 USC § 103. Early and favorable action in the case is respectfully requested.

Applicants have made an earnest effort to place their application in proper form and to distinguish the invention as now claimed from the applied references. In view of the foregoing, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of this application, entry of the amendments presented herein, and allowance of the Claims.

Respectfully submitted,

Timothy M. Kelley Registration No. 34,201

Michael Best & Friedrich LLP 100 East Wisconsin Avenue Suite 3300 Milwaukee, WI 53202

Our Ref: 010785-9003-02